

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,294	06/30/2003	Kevin L. Johnson	TEP0208-01	5571
832	7590 04/28/2004		EXAMINER	
BAKER & DANIELS III E. WAYNE STREET			PEZZUTO, ROBERT ERIC	
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT WAYNE, IN 46802			3671	

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7		
-	10/611,294	JOHNSON, KEVII	N L. \.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	Robert E Pezzuto		·		
The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the c ver	sheet with the correspondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commodified the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum standard to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months are armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hower nunication. O) days, a reply within the statutory mini- atutory period will apply and will expire S will, by statute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timel SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on				
• = -	 2b)⊠ This action is non-fina	I.			
3) Since this application is in condition					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-12 and 15-19 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 and 15 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	- <u>19</u> is/are withdrawn from co				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by th 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any obje Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objection to the drawing(s) be held in the correction is required if the	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been recei documents have been recei of the priority documents ha mal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this National a)).	Stage		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 200306360.	PTO-948) PTO/SB/08) 5) !	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO) Other:	O-152)		

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 10, drawn to a reverse shift lockout system, classified in class 280.
- II. Claims 11 and 12, drawn to a method of preventing an operator from placing a mower in reverse, classified in class 56, subclass 10.8.
- III. Claims 15-19, drawn to a reversible transmission, classified in class 74.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used on a materially different device (i.e., a tractor, etc).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,294

Art Unit: 3671

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Groups I and II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. John Hoffman on April 22, 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group II, claims 11 and 12. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 10 and 13-19 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hancock et al.'513 in view of Heal et al.'010. Hancock discloses a method (figures 1A-15) of preventing an operator from placing a mower in reverse while the deck is operating comprising the steps of blocking the movement of the shift lever into reverse (as seen in figure 1A) and thus not allowing the mower to be placed in reverse. Further,

Application/Control Number: 10/611,294

Art Unit: 3671

Hancock shows the subsequent retracting of the blocking member (figure 1B) but fails to show the blocking and unblocking achieved by automation such as a solenoid. However, Heal teaches that it is well known to provide lockout controls with such solenoids (figures 4-6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the device of Hancock with the teachings of Heal in order to provide a lockout device having greater automation and therefore being more operationally effective and efficient.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert E Pezzuto whose telephone number is (703) 308-1012. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B Will can be reached on (703) 308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,294

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert E Pezzuto

April 25, 2004